

**Record of proceedings dated 18.03.2021**

O. P. No. 20 of 2016

&

I. A. No. 13 of 2016

M/s. Sugna Metals Limited Vs. DE (Operation) TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the CGRF and to punish the licensee U/s 142 of the Act, 2003.

I. A. filed seeking interim orders not to disconnect the power supply pending disposal of the original petition.

Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for petitioner sought time to make submissions. The Commission pointed out and sought to ascertain the status of the matter pending before the Hon'ble High Court. The counsel for petitioner stated that he would ascertain the status of the matter and submit the same on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 19.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 21 of 2016

Sri. Akthar Ahmed Vs. CGRF-2, ADE (O) Shamshabad, TSSPDCL,  
DE (O) & SE (O) TSSPDCL

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the CGRF and to punish the licensee U/s 142 of the Act, 2003.

Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for petitioner sought time to make submissions. He also stated that the respondents have obtained orders from the Hon'ble High Court. The Commission pointed out and sought to ascertain the status of the matter pending before the Hon'ble High Court. The counsel for petitioner stated that he would ascertain the status of the matter and submit the same on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 29.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 27 of 2016

M/s. Sugna Metals Limited Vs. DE (O) Vikarabad TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the CGRF and to punish the licensee U/s 142 of the Act, 2003.

Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for petitioner sought time to make submissions. The Commission pointed out and sought to ascertain the status of the matter pending before the Hon'ble High Court. The counsel for petitioner stated that he would ascertain the status of the matter and submit the same on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 19.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 4 of 2019  
in  
O. P. No. 8 of 2017

M/s. Shree Cement Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & Vedanta Limited (Previously known as Sesa Sterlite Limited)

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 01.11.2018 passed in O.P. No. 8 of 2017

Sri M. Abhinay Reddy, Advocate for the review petitioner, Sri D. N. Sarma, OSD (Legal & Commercial) for respondent No. 1 and Sri Lakshyajit Singh Bagwal, Advocate for the respondent No. 2 have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the review petitioner sought time to file rejoinder insofar as the respondent No.2's counter. The counsel for respondent No. 2 stated that the counter affidavit has been filed and a copy of the same has been served on the other parties in the matter. The representative of the respondent No. 1 stated that they are yet to

receive a copy of the same. In view of the request of the parties and the status of the pleadings, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 19.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 16 of 2017  
&  
I. A. No. 25 of 2017

M/s. Sundew Properties Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking transfer of distribution assets falling within the area of SEZ area.

I. A. filed seeking directions to respondent No. 1 to disconnect the consumers pertaining to SPL's licence area and handover the assets to the petitioner and also to the respondent No. 2 to grant transmission connectivity at 33 KV level on two Nos. of 33 KV SPL feeders.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents has appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that they need further time to file counter affidavit in the matter. Therefore, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 29.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 4 of 2021

M/s. Sundew Properties Limited Vs. – Nil—

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for the power procured by it / to be charged to your consumers with TSSPDCL tariff as the ceiling tariff.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. As the connected matter is adjourned, this matter also stands adjourned.

Call on 29.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 10 of 2021

M/s. Medak Solar Projects Private Limited Vs TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 46 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents has appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that they have filed appeal against the order of the Commission before the Hon'ble ATE and it is likely to be numbered in a day or two. Therefore, the matter may be adjourned.

Call on 19.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 11 of 2021

M/s. Dubbak Solar Projects Private Limited Vs TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 47 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents has appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that they have filed appeal against the order of the Commission before the Hon'ble ATE and it is likely to be numbered in a day or two. Therefore, the matter may be adjourned.

Call on 19.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 12 of 2021

M/s. Sarvotham Care Vs TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 61 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents has appeared through video conference. The

representative of the respondents stated that they have filed appeal against the order of the Commission before the Hon'ble ATE and it is likely to be numbered in a day or two. Therefore, the matter may be adjourned.

Call on 19.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 7 of 2019

M/s. Prathmesh Solar Farms Private Limited Vs. TSTRANSCO, M/s. Mytrah Agriya Power Private Limited, CGM (Comml & RAC) TSSPDCL

Petition filed questioning the action of the TSTRANSCO in allowing another project to utilize the facilities developed by the petitioner contrary to the regulations.

Sri Damodhar Solanki, Advocate representing Smt. Swapna Seshadri, Advocate for the petitioner, Sri Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent No. 1, Sri Avinash Deasi, Advocate for respondent No. 2 and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent No. 3 have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner sought the hearing to be held as a last matter. However, the Commission noticed that the counsel was not in the dress code of an advocate. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned, despite the request made by the counsel on record for petitioner to hear the matter.

Call on 29.04.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 15 of 2016

Garrison Engineer (AFA) Hakeempet Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for the power procured by it as deemed distribution licensee

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent has appeared through video conference. As there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner in the matter, as such the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 16 of 2016

Garrison Engineer (AF) Dundigal Vs. --Nil--

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for the power procured by it as deemed distribution licensee

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Accordingly, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman